EXHIBIT 1

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3	
4	BLACK LOVE RESISTS IN THE RUST, et al.,
5	individually and on behalf of a class of all others similarly situated,
6	Plaintiffs,
7	-vs- 1:18-cv-00719-CCR
8	CITY OF BUFFALO, N.Y., et al.,
9	Defendants.
10	
11	EXAMINATION BEFORE TRIAL OF JOSEPH GRAMAGLIA
12	APPEARING REMOTELY FROM
13	ERIE COUNTY, NEW YORK
14	
15	
16	September 22, 2023
17	9:05 a.m 5:15 p.m.
18	pursuant to notice
19	
20	
21	REPORTED BY:
22	Carrie A. Fisher, Notary Public
23	APPEARING REMOTELY FROM ERIE COUNTY, NEW YORK

REMOTE APPEARANCES
APPEARING FOR THE PLAINTIFFS:
NATIONAL CENTER FOR LAW
AND ECONOMIC JUSTICE BY: CLAUDIA WILNER, ESQ.
50 Broadway, Suite 500 New York, New York 10004
(212) 633-6967
APPEARING FOR THE DEFENDANTS:
HODGSON RUSS LLP BY: CHEYENNE N. FREELY, ESQ., PETER SAHASRABUDHE, ESQ.,
<pre>and HUGH M. RUSS III, ESQ., 140 Pearl Street</pre>
Buffalo, New York 14202 (716) 848-1508
ALSO PRESENT:
ANJANA MALHOTRA, ESQ.
National Center for Law
and Economic Justice
DEPAOLO CROSBY REPORTING SERVICES, IN

JOSEPH GRAMAGLIA-I am. 1 Α. 2 Q. And what was the Strike Force? 3 MS. FREELY: Objection to form. You can 4 answer. 5 A. The Strike Force was a unit that was created, 6 a proactive-type unit. Their -- they were not 7 answering calls like a district police officer 8 so they would not be directly dispatched to 9 any calls. They could certainly call out on 10 calls. They could back up on calls, but their 11 primary focus was more of a proactive type of 12 unit. 13 Q. And what was the main mission of the Strike 14 Force? MS. FREELY: Objection to form. 15 You can 16 keep answering. 17 THE WITNESS: Oh, sorry. 18 MS. FREELY: As long as I say -- you 19 know, as long as I don't say don't answer. 20 THE WITNESS: Okay. 21 A. So just to clarify again, I had no operational command over Strike Force. When I became the 22 23 deputy commissioner, they had already been

-JOSEPH GRAMAGLIA-

disbanded. I was a district chief at the time and then a captain in Homicide and a patrol lieutenant. At no time did I ever have any operational command over Strike Force. So, you know, the things that I could testify to were historical knowledge of the department but I at no time ever had any operational control over Strike Force.

- Q. Okay. I understand. Are you aware of what the Strike Force's mission was?
 - MS. FREELY: Same objection.
- A. So their mission was a proactive unit.
- Q. And what does that mean, "a proactive unit"?
 - A. It could be I guess a variety of things.

Again, I don't know what exactly, you know, mission directives were given to them but their job was to do a variety of things that the commissioner at the time needed done. It could be for gang and gun violence reduction or any other needs — any other needs that would arise that the commissioner needed done. They could respond to complaints. They could respond to citizen complaints. At times we

-JOSEPH GRAMAGLIA-

will get 311 calls, we will get community calls where there might be an issue for something, there's an uptick in something, and the Strike Force would be there to respond to those needs. They were kind of an active flowing unit, if you will.

- Q. And when you say they could respond to civilian complaints, you don't mean as part of the Internal Affairs function, right?
- A. No, not at all. Again, on an operational policing side. So if there was a rise in shootings in a particular area, then they could be used to get into that area and try to suppress that. If there were other issues, other community concerns, if there were, you know, gang calls, if there were, you know, I guess any issue that the commissioner of police at the time deemed that needed more service than the district could provide because the district's primary responsibility is to answer 911 calls and to keep up with that so, you know, sometimes the extras don't -- aren't able to be handled as

-JOSEPH GRAMAGLIA-

- efficiently because the districts are answering calls so Strike Force could be used to -- to deal with some, you know, conditions and help to alleviate conditions.
- Q. And you said that the Strike Force was eliminated before you became deputy police commissioner?
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Did you have any involvement in the decision to eliminate the Strike Force?
- 11 A. I did not.
- Q. And are you familiar with the BPD Housing
 Unit?
- 14 A. Tam.
 - Q. And what was the Housing Unit?

 MS. FREELY: Objection to form.
 - A. The Housing Unit so they were -- they were a unit that was formed to work the public housing developments within the city of Buffalo, the Buffalo Municipal Housing Authority developments. The BMHA, as it's known, had a contract with the City. They paid a certain dollar amount for police

-JOSEPH GRAMAGLIA-

services, and the housing officers worked out of a housing station which is the station that I used to work at when I was a housing officer from '94 to '96 and their primary function was to patrol the housing developments and respond to any issues that the BMHA hierarchy -- concerns that they had.

- Q. And as deputy commissioner for operations, were you part of the Housing Unit command structure?
- A. And forgive me, I don't recall when they were disbanded. I believe that I was at the time.

 Honestly, I didn't look at the dates again. I believe there was a short time frame I think that I was. So if you happen to know the date that they were disbanded, you'd help me out.
- Q. Yes. I believe that the Housing Unit was disbanded around June of 2020 or July.
- A. Okay. Yeah, so I would have --
- Q. July of 2020.

- A. So I would have been responsible for them for two years.
- Q. And so what were your responsibilities with

-JOSEPH GRAMAGLIA-

- A. I don't know about major. I mean, I've had to make some Manual of Procedure changes. You know, we've evolved our use of force policy on several occasions, you know, again, trying to keep, you know, very current and ahead of the curve on some things but other than policy and procedure changes I don't -- nothing major.
- Q. Have you made any significant changes to the BPD's traffic enforcement policies or practices?

MR. RUSS: Objection to form. You may answer.

A. No.

- Q. Did you ever rescind Section 10.5 of the MOP which authorizes the BPD to run traffic safety checkpoints?
- A. I did not rescind it.
- 18 Q. And why not?
 - A. You know, if you pull up that section, the traffic checkpoints can only be done with, you know, high authorization. I'm not going to give -- you know, without a valid reason, I just don't -- you know, I'm not going to give

-JOSEPH GRAMAGLIA-

that authorization but, you know, you still have to keep that in there for, you know, some safety reasons. You know, there could hypothetically be an escaped prisoner where you have to set up traffic checkpoints. If you could pull up the section of the language and read it more specifically but, you know, having something in there and the authorizations that are required are two different things.

- Q. Okay. But under the MOP you could restart those traffic safety checkpoints at any time, right?
- A. I'd have the authorization. I could, yes.
- Q. Does the BPD conduct performance evaluations of officers?
- A. Contractually we're not able to, no. That's a subject of union negotiations.
 - Q. Okay. And -- yeah, maybe I will come back to that in a little bit. So aside from performance evaluations, does the BPD evaluate officers' performance in any way?
 - A. No. Subject to the union negotiations, we're